

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/699,559	MYERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Gerald D. Malpass.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 10, and 13*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend claims 1, 10, and 13, and the specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy, in view of paragraph [0028] line 3 of the instant specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Peter A. Hruskoci*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)